





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/887,630	06/22/2001	Hans Carlsson	4015-955	7398
24112 759	90 06/23/2004		EXAM	INER
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
P O BOX 5 RALEIGH, NC 27602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2665	•

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/887,630	CARLSSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin M Philpott	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendme eal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortenes (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set for nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS ate on which the petition under 37 nsion and the corresponding amou d statutory period for reply originally	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee int of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under y set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal b	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	
10. Other:	_	Judha
•		Marie
, 		HUY D. VU
·	SUPE	